



108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10098-2024

Date of Decision: October 19, 2024

Arshveer Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Mohit Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass and interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners at the behest of private respondents No.5 to 9 with a further direction to respondents No.1 to 4 not to implicate the petitioners in false and frivolous case at the instance of private respondents No.5 to 9.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.5 to 9 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.5 to 9. Their marriage photographs and marriage certificate evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-4 and P-5. The petitioners have submitted a representation



dated 07.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.3.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4-State only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, Punjab, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

October 19, 2024

meenuss

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |