

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24730-2023

Date of decision: 12.02.2024

M/s Issu Printing Press Sangrur, and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate, and
Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Shekhar Verma, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner applied for tender as regards Outdoor Media Publicity by flexes, hoardings and banners in District Sangrur. For the petitioner was found to be technically compliant and adjudged L1, vide communication dated 16.10.2023 (P-4), he was assigned the work. However, the limited grievance that the petitioner has is: although, the Notice Inviting Tender was issued qua six items, but he has been allotted work only qua five items. And regarding item No.1.02 [Blockout Flex (280 gsm) Rate per Sq. Feet including Iron Frame (Length 20ft.) (weight 3.80 KG to 4 KG), Printing and Mounting] work has not been assigned to him. Thus, it is urged that since respondent authorities had issued a single/composite tender, it could not split the same by excluding item No. 1.02.

Despite repeated opportunities, no response has been submitted on behalf of the respondents. However, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, submits that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the District Level Tender Committee, Sangrur, (respondent No.2), to examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. He submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) is in agreement with the course suggested by the learned State counsel, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned State counsel submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of one week from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No