

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**RA-CW-100-2024 (O & M) in  
CWP-239-2020**

**Date of Decision : 05.04.2024**

**BALRAJ SINGH AND OTHERS**

**.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

**Present : Mr. Jivesh Malik, Advocate  
for the review applicants/private respondents.**

**Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.**

**\*\*\*\*\***

**SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

**CM-4971-CWP-2024**

1. Considering the valid, and, good reasons, as meted in the application, thus explaining the apposite delay of 160 days, therefore, the application is allowed.

2. Delay of 160 days in filing the review application, is condoned.

**CM-4972-CWP-2024**

3. Considering the valid, and, good reasons, as meted in the application, thus explaining the apposite delay of 92 days, therefore, the application is allowed.

4. Delay of 92 days in re-filing the present review application, is condoned.

**RA-CW-100-2024 in CWP-239-2020**

5. This Court finds no error apparent on the face of the record in the verdict made by this Court on 24.04.2023 in CWP-239-2020.

6. Therefore, the present review application, is dismissed being

7.           The remedies, if any, available to be recoured by the aggrieved, be recoured.
8.           No order as to costs.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)

JUDGE

05.04.2024

kavneet singh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned           :       Yes/No

Whether reportable                    :       Yes/No