

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220) CWP-34685-2019
Date of Decision : January 09, 2024

Mahabir Singh and others .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(2) CWP-15024-2021

Sube Singh and others .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(3) CWP-18559-2021

Jaipal .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(4) CWP-21027-2022

Bala .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(5) CWP-28290-2022

Jagbir .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Advocate, for the petitioners
in CWP-34685-2019 and CWP-15024-2021.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate, for the petitioners
in CWP-18559-2021, CWP-21027-2022 and
CWP-28290-2022.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, five writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as all the petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.
2. In the present writ petitions, the grievance of the petitioners is that once their services have been regularized with retrospective effect, they are entitled for the grant of full salary from the date of regularization and the arrears cannot be restricted to 38 months from the date of the passing of the order.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after the said order was passed, which has been impugned in the present petitions, during the pendency of the present petitions, the respondents themselves have granted the benefit to certain other candidates, hence, the petitioners intend to approach the higher authorities for the grant of relief by placing reliance of their claim on the similarly situated employees who have been granted relief.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the respondents be directed to decide the said claim in a time bound manner so that the petitioners do not suffer any further prejudice.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners placing reliance upon any

benefit granted to any other similarly situated employees, the said claim will be considered and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of any such claim and in case the claim of the petitioners is found feasible to be accepted, appropriate relief will also be granted to the petitioners otherwise due reasons will be given for the information and necessary action of the petitioners.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondent authorities by filing appropriate representation.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

January 09, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No