



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(124)

CWP No. 28351 of 2024  
Date of Decision : 21.10.2024

Bishamber Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:** *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate and  
Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.  
  
Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner competed for the post of Master (Physical Education) as advertised vide Advertisement No. 08/22/2021 dated 08.01.2022 (Annexure P-1) and certain posts are lying vacant.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the petitioner was within the merit but he could not appear for the scrutiny of the documents as he did not get any notice, hence, keeping in view the fact that the posts are still lying vacant, the respondents be directed to grant another opportunity to the petitioner for the said purpose.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 05.08.2024 (Annexure P-11) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 05.08.2024 (Annexure P-11) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

**October 21, 2024**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*