



207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-54892-2023 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 13.05.2024**

Karamjit Singh Baidwan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU. J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 439(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for cancellation of pre-arrest bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 11.10.2023 (P-3) by this Court in FIR No. 37 dated 03.03.2023 registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station, Passiana, District Patiala.

2. In response to the notice of motion issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit dated 07.05.2024 has been filed by respondent No.2 and the same is taken on record. Registry to do the needful.

3. Paras 3, 4 and 6 of the aforesaid reply are extracted as under:-

*“3. That the deponent is a permanent resident of U.S.A since 2022 and his wife Ramanpreet Kaur Grewal and daughter Iana Grewal are in U.S.A whereas the deponent along with his son Udai Grewal and mother Naseeb Kaur reside in village Haji Majra Patiala. The deponent’s brother Harinder Singh Grewal and father Jasbir Singh have died. As such he being the only male member from maternal*



*(mama) side had left for U.S.A along with his son Udai Grewal on 19.10.2023 for attending wedding of his paternal aunt's (bhua) son namely Kulraj Singh Mangat scheduled from 24.10.2023 to 26.10.2023 at Cancun, Mexico and had returned from there on 01.11.2023.*

*4. That the deponent was not aware of the legal requirement to obtain permission from police or Hon'ble Court to travel abroad subsequent to grant of bail.*

*6. That the deponent humbly submits that he will never repeat the same in future and tenders unconditional apology for the same.*

Perusal of the above extract reveals that although, respondent No.2 left the country on 19.10.2023; but that was on account of some inadvertence and now he has undertaken that in future, there shall be no recurrence on his part.

- 4. In view of the above, petition is disposed off accordingly.
- 5. However, it is made clear that above affidavit shall be construed as an undertaking given by respondent No.2 and any breach in future shall entail legal consequences.
- 6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

13.05.2024  
SN/v

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable: Yes/No