



228

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-38255-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 28-11-2024

TARSEM SINGH AND ORS.

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners is liable to be considered keeping in view the judgment of this Court in CWP No.7855 of 2014 titled “*Jinder Singh and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors.*” decided on 17.12.2018 and the respondents are liable to be directed to pass an appropriate speaking order by taking into consideration the said judgment as well as the fact that the similarly situated employees have already been granted the benefit.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the claim of the petitioners for extending another option for the opting revised pay scale in terms of *Jinder Singh’s case (supra)*, will be considered and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt

of copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief being given to similarly situated employees as the petitioners in ***Jinder Singh's case (supra)***, appropriate relief will be granted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

28-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO