



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CWP No. 27850 of 2024
Date of Decision : 18.10.2024

Surinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working with the respondents since the year 1981 but her services were not regularized till she attained the age of superannuation but, keeping in view the order passed by this Court in CWP No. 26714 of 2019 titled as **Hira Devi and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 19.07.2024, the petitioner is also entitled for consideration of her claim for regularization of her services as per Policy dated 01.10.2003.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 03.08.2024 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 03.08.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

October 18, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No