



126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9982-2024**

Date of Decision:- 16.10.2024

**Kuldeep Kumar and another**

....Petitioners

Vs.

**State of Haryana and others**

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present:- Ms. Monika Jangra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)**

1. Petitioners Kuldeep Kumar and Dimpal have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 7, who are parents and relatives of petitioner No. 2.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, his date of birth is 20.05.1995. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2, Annexure P-2, her date of birth is 01.01.2004, although her date of birth is 28.1.2004. They had performed marriage on 13.10.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Shri Bhramari Devi Jan Kalyan Sanstha (Regd.), Panchkula, Mansa Devi Market, Sector-4, Panchkula is Annexure P-3 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Commissioner of Police, District Panchkula, Haryana, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents and relatives of petitioner No. 2 and

as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 7.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of parents and relatives of petitioner No. 2, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 14.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)**  
**JUDGE**

**16.10.2024**

*lalit*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |