



127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9987-2024

Date of Decision:- 16.10.2024

Aisha and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Aisha and Vaseem have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing official respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to petitioners, who are living together in a live-in relationship, from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P/1, her date of birth is 02.01.2004. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 2, Annexure P/2, his date of birth is 30.01.2006. They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, which is Annexure P/3. Petitioners are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 8, who are parents and relatives of petitioner No. 1, thus filed present petition.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship against the wishes of parents and relatives of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 14.10.2024 (Annexure P/3) and protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8, considering threat perception.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

16.10.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No