



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9999-2024

Date of decision : 16.10.2024

Manpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Angrej Singh Sarwara, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondent No.2 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners i.e. newly married couple as the family members of petitioner No.1 are against this love marriage and the petitioners apprehend threat to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents and private respondents are also misusing the police force to harass and implicate the petitioners and petitioners apprehend their false implication in any false case by the respondent No.2 at the instance of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of private respondents and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from private respondents. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 15.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) before



respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga for the redressal of their claim and the same has not yet been decided.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga to decide the representation dated 15.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

16.10.2024
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No