



and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 30.08.2024 (Annexure P-4). The first petition seeking quashing of FIR(supra) was dismissed as withdrawn on 23.09.2024 and liberty was granted to to the petitioners to file fresh petition on the same cause of action after impleading the victim i.e. daughter of respondent No. 2 as one of the respondents.

Notice of motion returnable for 19.11.2024.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State and Mr. Binat Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance, through video conferencing, on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3 and filed power of attorney. Same is taken on record. Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 admits the factum of compromise and submits that statement of respondent 3 be recorded through respondent No. 2 as general power of attorney holder, because respondent No. 3 is residing abroad.

Service is complete.

In the meantime, Parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within a period of two weeks or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of the compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 19.11.2024. Learned trial Court is directed to record the statement of respondent No. 3 through her general power of attorney holder i.e. respondent No. 2.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and***



another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.20 dated 14.04.2023 registered under Sections 498-A and 406 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Thulliwal, District Barnala, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

November 19, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |