



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10028-2024

Date of decision : 18.10.2024

Manpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vikram Kumar, Advocate for
Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners which is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9 and other relatives and friends.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 is already married with respondent No.7 and from the wedlock, she has no child. He submits that due to the quarreling nature of her husband, she could not stay with him and came to petitioner No.2 and now both the petitioners are living in live-in-relationship and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 12.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G.,

Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala to decide the representation dated 12.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

18.10.2024
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No