



CWP-28286-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(148)

CWP-28286-2024

Date of Decision : October 21, 2024

Ranjeet Singh and others**.. Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sunil Goswami, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that services of all the petitioners were regularized by the respondents before their retirement but their part time service has not been taken into account despite the fact that as per the judgment passed by this Court in ***CWP No.1048 of 2016 titled as Jai Bhagwan vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 01.03.2019 (Annexure P-4)***, the part time service rendered by an employee is also to be taken into account as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the justice demand notice dated 25.09.2024 (Annexure P-5)

**CWP-28286-2024****2**

which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Ashish Yadav, learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the justice demand notice dated 25.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

October 21, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No