



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-52024-2024

Date of Decision : **November 18, 2024**

PARKASHO ALIAS BILLO

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Gurvinder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 21.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., the petitioner, who claims to have clean antecedents, seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.135 dated 09.09.2024, under Sections 331(4), 305, 317(2) of the B.N.S., 2023, registered at P.S. City Khanna, District Khanna.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that neither the name of the petitioner reflects in the FIR, nor any role whatsoever has been assigned to her therein. The only piece of evidence, which constitutes the bedrock for the investigating agency to arrest the petitioner, is the disclosure statement(s) of her co-accused, who was/were

apprehended at the spot by the complainant. Except the disclosure statement(s), there is no other cogent evidence on record to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime. Moreover, the allegedly stolen articles were recovered from the spot itself, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

3. *Notice of motion for 18.11.2024.*

4. *Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.*

5. *In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023”*

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 21.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

November 18, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No