



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

111 CM-2222-C-2020 in/and
RSA-710-2020 (O & M)
Date of decision: 03.09.2024

M/S RADHEY RADHEY TRADING CO. ...Appellant

Versus

PURSHOTTAM DASS AND ANOTHER ..Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- None.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

- 1. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant, despite having been clarified in the previous order that no further adjournment shall be granted.
- 2. Same had been the situation on the past four dates as well.
- 3. Therefore, it is obvious that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the application for condonation of delay or the regular second appeal.
- 4. Dismissed in default.
- 5. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of.

03.09.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No