

278

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54891-2023

Date of decision: 04.04.2024

Rachhpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.P. Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.112 dated 09.07.2023 registered under Sections 452, 324, 323, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC and Sections 325, 201 of IPC added later on, Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur on the basis of compromise.
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondents No.2.
3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 to 5 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.
4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid

compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sangrur along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner and for respondents No.2 to 5 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.112 dated 09.07.2023 and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

04.04.2024

Yogesh

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**