



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CWP No. 28247 of 2024
Date of Decision : 21.10.2024

Gian Sagar Educational and Charitable Trust

...Petitioner

Versus

The Presiding Officer, Educational Tribunal, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Tushar Sabherwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that certain amount of salary was paid to the respondent No. 2-employee which has not been taken into account by the Tribunal.
2. On being asked to point out the said factum from the written statement filed before the Tribunal, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any averment that the salary being claimed by the respondent-employee was paid.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the filing of the reply, certain records were found and a chart was presented before the Tribunal at the time of deciding the claim of the respondent-employee.
4. In the absence of any averment in the reply, the Tribunal was not bound to take into account the chart being submitted by the counsel before



the Tribunal. In case, there exists any record of any payment to the respondent-employee, the petitioner will be at liberty to approach the Tribunal by filing appropriate application for consideration of the same.

- 5. No ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the present petition.
- 6. Dismissed with liberty as stated here-in-before.

October 21, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No