



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52068-2024
Date of Decision : 18.11.2024**

MANJEET

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Manita Dalua, Advocate for
Mr. Madhur Jangra, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 21.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., a prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, as he apprehends his arrest on account of him becoming summoned in a private complaint No.COMI/113/2018, titled as “Darshana Vs. Kamal Singh and others”, under Sections 147, 149, 302, 34 of the IPC, and, Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, pending before the learned J.M.I.C., Charkhi Dadri.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, in his asking for the relief (supra), inter alia submits that although the petitioner was declared a “Proclaimed Offender” in the instant complaint, however, the said proclamation order was challenged by him, through filing CRM-M43657-2024, titled “**Manjeet Vs. State of Haryana and another**”, before this Court and this Court, vide order dated 06.09.2024, had stayed the operation of the order declaring the petitioner a “Proclaimed Offender”.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that, except the petitioner, all other accused have been granted the relief of anticipatory bail. He also submits that the main injury is not attributed to the present petitioner, rather is attributed to one Kamal Singh, who is also granted the relief of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 9.1.2024, passed in CRM-M-61318-2023.

4. Notice of motion for 18.11.2024.

5. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State.

6. Subject to requisite process fee being furnished by the learned counsel for the petitioner, notice be issued to the respondent No.2.

7. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to, within 10 days from today, surrender before the learned trial Court concerned/Duty Magistrate concerned, and, in case the petitioner surrenders, he shall be released on interim regular bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Court concerned. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 21.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

November 18, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No