



250

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10016-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 12.11.2024

Abdul Aziz and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Status report is filed by official respondents, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.
2. As per previous order dated 16.10.2024, *interim* relief was granted in favour of petitioners, directing official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.
3. Despite calling case, nobody has appeared on behalf of petitioners. As per status report, it is alleged that SI Mahipal visited address given in petition but petitioners were not residing in village Khori Kalan, Tehsil Tauru, District Nuh. To confirm this fact, statements of Sarpanch and Numberdar were recorded, which are Annexures R-1 and R-2. Thereafter, SI Mahipal contacted petitioner No. 1 Abdul Aziz on mobile phone mentioned in petition on 05.11.2024 and 06.11.2024. Petitioner No. 1 disclosed that he was residing in village Chaupanki, Bhiwadi, District Alwar, Rajasthan, therefore, he cannot come to village Khori Kalan. Regarding this, entry was made in DDR no. 13 dated 06.11.2024.

4. In the light of aforesaid factual position, petitioners are no more residing on the given address and they have shifted to village Chaupanki, Bhiwadi, District Alwar, Rajasthan. Therefore, at present there is no threat perception in local limits of address given in petition. Accordingly, no further direction is required and petition stands disposed of having rendered infructuous.

5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

12.11.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No