

[212] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54963-2023
Date of Decision : 18.01.2024

Dilshad ...Petitioner
versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**
Present : Mohd. Tarif and Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 03.11.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mohd. Tarif and Mr. Vikas Chopra, Advocates
for the petitioner.

*By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.389, dated
19.07.2023, under Section 20 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic
Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Industrial Sector 29,
District Panipat (Haryana).*

*As per allegations, 2 Kg. and 840 grams of ganja patti was
recovered from the possession of co-accused Vikas alias Murli, who in his
disclosure statement nominated the petitioner to be supplier. It is also the
allegation that amount of 27,000/- is to be recovered from the petitioner as
drug money.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated; that he is not involved in any such
case under the NDPS Act, though he is involved in 9 more cases, as per
the details given in para No.19 of the petition, but none of those cases are
under the NDPS Act.*

Notice of motion.

*Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of
the respondent-State. A copy of paper book be supplied to him during the
course of day.*

Adjourned to 18.01.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 03.11.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 03.11.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

18.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No