



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**230**

**CRM-M-51755-2024  
Date of Decision: 24.10.2024**

**VAISHALI CHAUHAN**

...Petitioner

Vs.

**STATE OF HARYANA**

...Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT**

Present : Mr. G. S. Sandhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, DAG, Haryana.

**N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant a regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.304 dated 03.05.2022 registered under Sections 406, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC and 7 and 13 of P.C. Act, 1988 at Police Station Sector 32-33, Karnal, District Karnal (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. He further contends that the entire case was based on documentary evidence, which has already been collected by the police during the course of investigation and now after completion of investigation, challan has already been presented against the present petitioner. By referring to the orders (Anneuxre P-3 and Annexure P-4), learned counsel for the



petitioner submits that similarly placed co-accused namely Krishan Kumar and Anshul Kumar have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 30.01.2024 and is in custody since then. He further contends that the petitioner is a first time offender and a lady. Thus, she deserves the sympathetic consideration by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 30.01.2024 and two of her co-accused namely Krishan Kumar and Anshul Kumar have been admitted to bail by this Court. Even the charge has not been framed against the petitioner and the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time.

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

**24.10.2024**

*M.Sikka*

**( N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**

**JUDGE**



Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No