

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRM-M-54604-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.01.2024

VIDYA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Ms. Anu Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 02.11.2023, following order was passed:

“Petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.237 dated 22.09.2021 registered under Section 409 IPC at Police Station Badhra, District Charkhi Dadri.

It is contended by learned counsel that petitioner was Sarpanch during the period from 2005 to 2010 and for certain development work executed during the period from 2005 to 2007, a complaint has been filed in September, 2021, resulting into registration of the present FIR. In fact, in the year 2008, petitioner had lodged an FIR No.27 dated 01.02.2008 under Sections 323, 506, 34 IPC and Section 3 of SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act at Police Station Badhra, in which complainant was convicted. Ever since then, the complainant is making repeated complaints against the petitioner. Earlier complaints were duly enquired into and the same were found to be false.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to

him during course of the day.

Adjourned to 09.01.2024.

In the meantime, in case of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. She shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade her from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. She shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 02.11.2023 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

09.01.2024

pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No