



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10079-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 19.10.2024

BALJIT KAUR AND ANR ...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate
 Mr. S.S. Thakur, Advocate
 Mr. Vikas Rana, Advocate
 Mr. Thaman Sethi, Advocate and
 Mr. Abhinav Mahant, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioner and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala to decide the representation dated 24.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) within a time bound manner.



CRWP-10079-2024

-2-

3. Without advertng to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 24.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.
5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

19.10.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>