

2024.PHHC.135565-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-27754-2024

Decided on : 16.10.2024

Jai Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak& others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr.Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G., Haryana

Mr.Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present writ petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is to the order dated 04.01.2022 (Annexure P-7). The writ petition has been filed after 2 ½ years. Even the relief prayed for in the petition was after 60 years of the consolidation done which led to the passing of the order by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.
2. Counsel has tried to point out that an arithmetical error had occurred and it was not his fault.
3. Petitioner cannot raise the said argument as he slept over the same and even the consolidation has taken place in 1956 of Khasra No.102//5 fixed as Gair Mumkin Chah which was allegedly not fixed correctly as per the spot, which issue, now, at this belated stage, cannot be gone into. In such circumstances, the Commissioner has chosen not to exercise his jurisdiction and we do not find any reason to interfere in the same.

4. Even otherwise, the writ petition is suffering from the same ailment and the order has been challenged after more than 2 ½ years. Resultantly, no case is made out to entertain the present writ petition and call upon the respondents to file reply.

5. Accordingly, in view of the above discussion, the present writ petition stands dismissed in limine.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

16.10.2024
Sailesh

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No