

CRM-M-51347-2024 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51347-2024
Date of decision: 25.11.2024

NAVEEN GARGPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Rishab Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vinayak Goel, Advocate for the complainant.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Vinayak Goel, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed his vakalatnama. The same is taken on record.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 13.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
330	07.12.2023	120-B, 406, 465 IPC (Section 120-B and 465 IPC deleted later on).	Sushant Lok, District Gurugram.



CRM-M-51347-2024


-1-

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit dated 13.08.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Gurugram, vide report dated 16.11.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 22.10.2024, an amount of Rs.25000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Gurugram, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 330 dated 07.12.2023, under Sections 120-B, 406, 465 IPC (Sections



CRM-M-51347-2024 -1-

120-B and 465 IPC deleted later on), registered at Police Station Sushant Lok, District Gurugram. (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

25.11.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |