

248

2024:PHHC:140489



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-51423 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.10.2024**

Ramandeep Kumar		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab		... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Girshari Shankar Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, Section 226 IPC is ordered to be added in the head note of the petition as well as well as prayer clause. Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to carry out the necessary correction in the Court itself.
2. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant a regular bail in case FIR No.111 dated 22.05.2024 registered under Sections 323, 324, 307, 506 and 226 IPC at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the story projected by the complainant in the FIR is apparently false and unbelievable. He further contends that Shivani, complainant was having an affair with the petitioner. However, she was also having friendship with Sonu @ Sohail and Kamal Mehra. He further contends that the petitioner was called at Cheema Colony, Ludhiana and at the instance of the complainant, Sonu @ Sohail and Kamal Mehra attacked him. In his defence, the petitioner had also caused certain injuries to the complainant side. Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the medical record (Annexure P-2) to contend that the petitioner had suffered serious injuries.

4. On the other hand, the complainant side got the FIR registered by coining a false version and his father submitted detailed representations to the higher police officers but their request was not heard by anyone. He was arrested in the present case on 25.05.2024 and after completion of investigation, the challan has already been presented in the Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In the present case, the petitioner is in custody for the about 05 months. Moreover, the petitioner had also suffered injuries in the present case. At this stage, only charge has been framed against the petitioner and conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time.

7. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

25.10.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No