

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2188-2024

Date of decision:08.11.2024

NEELAM BHOSLE

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. U.K. Agnihotri, Advocate with
Mr. Anuj Y. Attri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel having advance notice of the present petition submits that the prosecution has already exhausted list of its witnesses which was attached with the challan.

2. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner made prayer that the present petition be disposed of with direction to the trial Court to take into consideration the pendrive in question at the time of final arguments.

3. The present petition is hereby disposed of in light of the aforesaid statement made by counsel for the petitioner. Learned trial Court is at liberty to take into consideration the said pendrive at the time of final arguments subject to mode of its proof, relevance and veracity.

08.11.2024

Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE