

2024:PHHC:136791



CRM-M-51779-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M No.51779 of 2024 (O&M)
Decided on: 19.10.2024

Naina and Another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
432	30.07.2022	City Bhiwani	454, 380, 120B IPC

1. Seeking quashing of the above captioned FIR, the petitioners have come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 528 BNSS, 2023.
2. After arguing for sufficient time, facing strict opposition from the State, counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be contented and satisfied if he is permitted to file an application for discharge as there is no evidence against them and the trial Court be directed to answer each and every point so raised in that application and seeks to withdraw the present petition with the aforesaid reservations.
4. I have also perused the petition and annexed documents and find that there might be some substance in the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioners.
5. Given above, the present petition is **disposed of** as withdrawn with liberty to the

2024:PHHC:136791



CRM-M-51779-2024

petitioners to file a precise application for discharge before the trial Court, but such application should not be unnecessarily lengthy and if such application is filed in that case, the concerned Court shall decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order and shall answer to each and every point so raised in the said application. Liberty reserved to the petitioners to come to this Court again, if need so arises. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

19.10.2024

Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.