



CWP-27648-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CWP-27648-2024

Date of Decision : October 16, 2024

Vinay Sharma and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that petitioners are entitled for the benefit of service rendered in the aided schools as given to the petitioners in ***CWP No. 4629 of 2012 titled as Sunil Kumar Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab, decided on 19.02.2018*** but the said benefit is not being given to the petitioners without any valid reason.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 02.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

**CWP-27648-2024****2**

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 02.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

October 16, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No