



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-54383-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.05.2024

ANURAG ARYA @ ABHI

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ashish Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On 19.01.2024, the following order is passed:-

"Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C, read with Section 482 Cr.P.C, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.28 dated 11.03.2023, under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC, 1860 added later on), registered at Police Station C Division District, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the offence punishable under Section 326 IPC, was added later, however, no such injury is attributed to the present petitioner, further the injury attributed to the present petitioner is simple in nature, therefore, at the most, the penal provisions as prescribed under Sections 323 and 324 of IPC, can be invoked against the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that the matter has now been compromised with the present petitioner, and he has no objection in case the petitioner is granted



the relief of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 24.04.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bond to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by ASI Pritpal Singh, submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he is fully co-operating with the investigation process, and after investigation it transpired that the petitioner is not involved in the instant petition, therefore, he has been declared as innocent.
3. In view of the above statement made by the learned State counsel, no further direction is required to be passed, therefore, the instant petition is **disposed** of, however, with liberty, that in case the petitioner has any apprehension of arrest in the instant FIR, he can maintain the same petition, by re-accessing this Court.
4. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

13.05.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No