



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.52267 of 2024

Date of decision: 22nd October, 2024

Jatinder Pal @ Bhangi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Kuldeep S. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of order dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) in FIR No.02 dated 06.01.2019 under Sections 294, 323, 452, 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Nangal, District Ropar, vide which an application moved by him for summoning an official from the Administrative Block of High Court of Punjab & Haryana along with the enquiry file regarding the termination of the services of respondent No.2/complainant was declined.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner was employed as a Peon at the residence of respondent No.2/complainant, who at the relevant time was posted with a Judicial Officer in Nangal Courts. It was due to a complaint lodged by the petitioner himself that respondent No.2/complainant was terminated from judicial service. It is as a consequence of the above, the petitioner

filed an application before the trial Court (Annexure P-2) seeking summoning of records from the High Court concerning dismissal of respondent No.2/complainant along with an official. Learned counsel has submitted that the application had been moved as it would significantly aid in the fair and just adjudication of the case at hand.

3. Upon being specifically questioned by this Court on the relevance of the material sought and how it would contribute to the just and proper adjudication of the case currently pending before the learned trial Court, learned counsel for the petitioner has miserably failed to provide any satisfactory response.

4. A thorough examination of the material on record reveals that the FIR in question was registered on behalf of respondent No.2/complainant while he was serving as a judicial officer in Nangal. The FIR in question was registered under Sections 294, 323, 452, 506 of the IPC, based on allegations that the petitioner after illegally entering the house of the respondent No.2/complainant, used obscene language and inflicted simple injuries on the domestic help of respondent No.2/complainant.

5. This Court finds it incomprehensive as to how the records, the petitioner seeks to summon from the High Court, hold any relevance to the case, particularly given that the FIR in question was lodged while respondent No.2/complainant was still in service.

6. In view of the foregoing facts and circumstances, this Court finds no merit in the instant petition, and the impugned order therefore,

does not warrant any interference. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 22, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No