

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**



“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and no injury has been attributed to the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 16.10.2024, petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperated with the investigating officer.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed that neither the petitioner named in the FIR in question nor any specific injury has been attributed to him; he has been nominated on the basis of a disclosure statement. the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned State counsel, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above, present petition is allowed and interim order dated 16.10.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.PC/482(2) BNSS.

05.12.2024
sonia

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No