



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

301

CRM-M-51256-2024
Date of Decision: 18.11.2024

Pargat Singh and othersPetitioners
versus
State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate, and
Mr. Didar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sahil Matharoo, Advocate, for
Ms. Aneet Kaur, Advocate,for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been preferred seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties on 30.09.2024 (Annexure P-2). Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
184	08.05.2018	452, 294, 427, 506, 34 of IPC	Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 30.09.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived

**CRM-M-51256-2024****-2-**

at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs.15000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 21.10.2024.

3. The Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon vide report dated 12.11.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties voluntarily without any pressure or coercion.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainants has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.184 dated 08.05.2018, under Sections 452, 294, 427, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural. (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise *qua* the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

18.11.2024

JYOTI THAKUR/Jyoti Thakur
2024.11.21 13:16
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No