



CRWP-10044-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(240)

CRWP-10044-2024

Date of Decision : 02.12.2024

Premjit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners, at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5.

2. This Court, on dated 19.10.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition :-

"1. Through the instant criminal writ petition, the petitioners seek issuance of directions upon the respondents No.1 to 3, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty, at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5.

2. Perusal of the instant petition reveals that, the petitioner No.1, during subsistence of her marriage with the respondent No.4, wherefrom she has been blessed with three children, decided to walk out of her matrimonial relationship and now, she has been living in 'live-in relationship' with the petitioner No.2.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3, and, he is directed to, through the respondent No.3- S.H.O.

concerned, file a status report, detailing therein whether the threat perception, as alleged by the petitioners, genuinely exists or the same is based upon mere assumptions and presumptions.

5. Subject to requisite process fee being filed by the learned counsel for the petitioners, notices be issued to the respondents No.4 & 5.

6. List on 21.11.2024, for awaiting the status report.”

3. In deference to the directions issued by this Court, by drawing an order dated 19.10.2024, short reply dated 28.11 .2024, by way of an affidavit of Mr. Manpreet Singh, IPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3, furnished by the learned State counsel, today in the Court. The same is taken on the record.
4. The study survey of the reply (supra), reflects that there is no threat perceptions to the petitioners, as on today, from the private respondents No.4 and 5, and in this regard, statements of private respondents No.4 and 5, have also been recorded.
5. Today, no one has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners. It seems that there is no threat perception to the petitioners, and they do not want to pursue the instant petition.
6. In view of the specific reply (supra), and the statements suffered by the private respondents No.4 and 5, no further order is required to be passed in the instant petition, and the same is hereby **closed**.
7. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)

JUDGE

December 02, 2024

MANPREET SINGH 2024.12.03 18:49 I attest to the accuracy and authenticity of this order/judgment	Manpreet	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
		Whether reportable	:	Yes/No