



CWP-28784-2024

-1-

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-28784-2024
Date of Decision: 06.11.2024

KAVITA

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Dr. Janak Raj Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.
(Through V.C.)
Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. A.G., Haryana
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the petitioner against available vacant seat since she is in merit list for the post of Post Graduate Teacher/PGT Hindi for Mewat cadre under General category pursuant to advertisement 41/2023, dated 24.06.2023, Annexure P-2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner secured 47.55 marks as a General category candidate for the aforementioned post, as is apparent from the detailed marks, Annexure P-7; and the last selected candidate in the category, bearing roll no.5195, secured 47.56 marks. As per result dated 11.03.2024, Annexure P-1, the Commission has



selected only sixty-five candidates against seventy posts of PGT Hindi for Mewat cadre which were advertised vide the advertisement in question. Accordingly, five posts are still vacant, and the petitioner's candidature can be considered against the same on merit.

3. Learned counsel for the second respondent, on instructions, contends that out of the seventy advertised posts thirty-eight were of General category. As per the list of selected candidates, result of five posts has been with-held in terms of interim orders passed to that effect in the pending Court cases, details whereof have also been mentioned in the result dated 11.03.2024. Only after final decision of the pending cases, result of these five posts can be declared.

4. In view of this undisputed factual position on record, at this stage, the petitioner's candidature cannot be considered since the posts have been kept vacant in terms of interim orders passed in *sub judice* matters and the same can only be filled as per directions in those matters.

5. The petition, accordingly, stands dismissed.

06.11.2024
Seema

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No