



133 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9934-2024
Date of decision: 14.10.2024

Munish Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Vishal Tartyal, Advocate and
 Mr. Lovepreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J (Oral):

1. Report of warrant officer dated 14.10.2024 received in a closed envelope. Warrant Officer was appointed as per order dated 12.10.2024. As per report, warrant officer along with petitioner and his associates reached Police Station Derabassi, where SHO Mandeep Singh and ASI Chamkaur Singh were present. On checking, it was found that Paramjit Kaur alleged detainee was not present there. ASI Chamkaur Singh clarified that Paramjit Kaur was called in connection with her son Ravinder Kumar being involved in a theft case and after enquiry, she went back. Petitioner alleged that till date Paramjit Kaur had not reached home. Petitioner requested for video footage of 11.10.2024 and 12.10.2024 but SHO claimed that due to technical reasons, said video footage cannot be provided.

I have considered the status report. Paramjit Kaur alleged detainee was not found in the premises of Police Station of Derabassi.

Therefore, as of now it is not the case of illegal detention. Therefore,



criminal writ petition in the nature of habeas corpus filed by the petitioner stands disposed of having rendered infructuous. However, on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.3 is directed to preserve CCTV footage of the Police Station from 11.10.2024 to 12.10.2024. Petitioner is at liberty to seek remedy available to him as per law.

2. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

14.10.2024
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No