



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9964-2024**

Date of Decision:- 16.10.2024

**Shabnam and another**

....Petitioners

Vs.

**State of Punjab and others**

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present:- Mr. Pushpinder Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)**

1. Petitioners Shabnam and Aman have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5, who are father and brother of petitioner No. 1.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her date of birth is 01.01.2006. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 01.01.2002. They had performed marriage on 10.10.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Prachin Pashpupati Nath Shiv Mandir Society, Booth No. 228, MDC, Sector-4, Panchkula, Haryana is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali and SHO, Police Station Sadar Kharar, District SAS Nagar, Mohali, which is Annexure P-4 and receipts dated 10.10.2024 are Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed

marriage without consent and wishes of father and brother of petitioner No.1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 and 5.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of father and brother of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 10.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)**  
**JUDGE**

**16.10.2024**

*lalit*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |