

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

RA-CW-5-2024 (O & M) in
CWP-23647-2018
Date of Decision : 19.04.2024

SATPAL AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

COMMISSIONER AMBALA DIV. AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate
for the review applicant/respondent No. 4.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. P.P.Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the non-applicants/petitioners.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CM-172-CWP-2024

1. Considering the valid, and, good reasons, as meted in the application, thus explaining the apposite delay of 22 days, therefore, the application is allowed.
2. Delay of 22 days in re-filing the review petition, is condoned.

RA-CW-5-2024 in CWP-23647-2018.

3. This Court finds no error apparent on the face of the record in the verdict made by this Court on 19.09.2023 in CWP-23647-2018.
4. Therefore, the present review application, is dismissed being totally devoid of any merit alongwith all pending application(s).
5. The remedies, if any, available to be recoured by the aggrieved, be recoured.
6. No order as to costs.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

19.04.2024
kavneet singh