

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

**Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases**

**Reserved On: 12.02.2024
Pronounced On: 22.02.2024**

Balwan Singh and Others
... Review Applicant/Appellant(s)
Versus
State of Haryana and Others
... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Hitesh Malik, Advocate
for the review applicant/appellant(s).

Mr. Ashwani Kumar Chopra, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Mr. Vidul Kapoor and
Mr. Deepak Saini, Advocates for HSIIDC.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Pannu, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

CM-5064-CI-2023 IN RA-RF-356-2023 IN RFA-30-2017

1. In view of the prayer made in the application, which is supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The proposed legal representatives, named in para 2 of the application, are the Class-I heirs of appellant No. 4(a)-Jagdish Kumar, who is stated to have died on 20.02.2022. They are permitted to be brought on record as the legal heirs of appellant No. 4(a)-Jagdish Kumar, for the purpose of prosecuting the present review application only.

**Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases**

2

CM-5067-CI-2023 IN RA-RF-356-2023 IN RFA-30-2017

2. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 323 days in filing the review application is condoned.

RA-RF-356-2023 IN RFA-30-2017 And Other Connected Cases

3. A batch of 128 review applications has come up for final disposal. On 27.10.2021, while deciding a bunch of the regular first appeals, this Court delivered the judgment in the lead case i.e. ***Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017*** titled as “***Balwan and Others v. State of Haryana and Others***”. The market value of the acquired land measuring 713 acres, 2 kanals and 5 marlas located in village Baliyana as on 13.02.2008 was assessed @ ₹16,00,000/- per acre. In the Special Leave Petitions before the Supreme Court by the landowners, the following order was passed:-

“The principal complaint which is pressed before us by the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner are two fold. Firstly, it is pointed out that in respect of land acquired under Notification dated 09.06.2006 from the same village, this Court has by judgment dated 18.05.2022 (2022 SCC OnLine SC 637) accepted the value of Rs.17 Lakhs per acre. It is pointed out that the Section 4(1) Notification in the present cases is dated 13.02.2008. Therefore, it is contended that ordinarily the price of land would certainly not have stood still and that this point was urged before the High Court and it is noted also but it is not dealt with.

The further compliant which is pressed into service is as regards the High Court not relying upon exhibit P-1. It is pointed out that the reasons given cannot be justified.

The learned senior counsel for the Petitioner prays for to

**Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases**

withdraw these SLPs with liberty to file a review. We further make it clear that in case such a review limited to these two aspects is decided against the Petitioner(s) it will be open open to the Petitioner(s) to challenge the impugned order also.

The SLP(s) is/ are dismissed as withdrawn with such liberty.”

4. This Court has once again heard the learned senior counsel representing the review applicants as well as the respondents.

5. The learned senior counsel representing the review applicants submits that the Supreme Court has assessed the market value of the adjoining land to the acquired land which is the subject matter of assessment in this case on 09.06.2006 @ ₹17,00,000/- per acre in the judgment ***Balwan Singh (Dead) by LRs v. State of Haryana (2022) SCC Online SC 637***. He submits that in these cases, notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as “the 1894 Act”) was issued on 13.02.2008 to acquire the land in the same village. Hence, the price of the land should be more than ₹17,00,000/-. He further submits that the sale deed (Ex.P1) was produced and proved in these cases also as was produced and proved in the previous batch of cases. Hence, this Court has committed a patent error.

6. On the other hand, the learned senior counsel representing the respondent(s) submits that there is no patent error and the sale deed (Ex.P1) has already been discussed in detail in para 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 of the judgment.

7. This Court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the parties and carefully, with greatest respect, read the

order passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court on 31.10.2022. At this stage, it is considered appropriate to reproduce the tabulated compilation of the sale exemplars produced by the land owners as well as the respondents:-

Sale Exemplars produced by the land owners

Sr. No.	Ex.	Vasika No.	Date	Land Area	Total Sale Consideration	Rate Per acre	Revenue Estate/ Village
1.	P1	33	6.4.2007	16 Kanal	40,00,000/-	20,00,000/-	Baliyana
2.	P2	682	26.6.2006	16 Kanal	50,00,000/-	25,00,000/-	Bohar
3.	P3	217	6.10.2009	4 Kanal	26,00,000/-	52,00,000/-	Baliyana
4.	P4	710	1.7.2010	19662 Sq. Meter	5,70,37,200/-	1,42,59,300/-	Baliyana
5.	P7	5626	27.9.2006	7 Kanal 9 Marla	32,12,813/-	34,50,000/-	Bohar
6.	P8	3088	3.7.2006	8 Kanal 14 Marla	38,06,250/-	35,00,000/-	Bohar
7.	P9	238	2.4.2006	3 Kanal 8 Marla	13,60,000/-	6,80,000/-	Bohar
8.	P10	5095	7.9.2006	31 Kanal 14 Marla	1,64,44,375/-	41,50,000/-	Bohar
9.	P11	14133	13.3.2006	5 Kanal	20,00,000/-	32,00,000/-	Bohar
10.	P12	3089	3.7.2006	1 Kanal 7.5 Marla	6,01,563/-	35,64,817/-	Bohar
11.	P13	3090	3.7.2006	4 Kanal	17,50,000/-	35,00,000/-	Bohar

Sale Exemplars produced by the Corporation and M/s Maruti Suzuki Limited

S.No.	Ex. No.	Dated	Area	Amount	Village	Rate Per Acre
1.	R/2	23.04.2007	29 K	58,00,000/-	Baliyana	16,00,000/-
2.	R/3	24.05.2007	7K-6M	9,75,000/-	Baliyana	10,00,000/-
3.	R/4	02.08.2007	6K-8M	10,00,000/-	Baliyana	12,50,000/-
4.	R/2/2	24.08.2007	6K-8M	8,62,500/-	Baliyana	10,00,000/-
5.	R/2/3	15.05.2007	8K	15,50,000/-	Baliyana	15,50,000/-
6.	R/2/4	15.05.2007	2K-5M	2,90,000/-	Baliyana	10,31,111/-
7.	R/2/5	15.05.2007	3K-18M	4,88,000/-	Baliyana	10,01,025/-
8.	R/2/6	11.04.2008	5K-12M	7,70,000/-	Baliyana	11,00,000/-

9.	R/2/7	31.12.2007	5K	6,25,000/-	Baliyana	10,00,000/-
10.	R/2/8	15.05.2007	6K-7M	9,52,000/-	Baliyana	11,99,370/-
11.	R/2/9	31.12.2007	5K	6,25,000/-	Baliyana	10,00,000/-

8. While deciding the appeals, the sale deed (Ex.P1) has been discussed in para 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6, which are extracted as under:-

“5.3 On a careful perusal of the compiled tabulated information, it is apparent that with respect to the land situated in village Baliyana, the landowners have produced three sale deeds. The sale deed (Ex.P3 and P4) are post the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. In the present case, the crucial date for assessing the market value of the acquired land is 13.02.2008. The remaining sale deeds are with respect to the land located in different villages. Thus, the only sale deed which is with respect to sale of the land located in the village before the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act is Ex.P1. On a careful perusal of the record, it becomes clear that 16 kanals land which was 320/1366th share of the total land measuring 68 kanals 6 marlas had been sold for a sum of ₹40,00,000/-. There are certain peculiar facts which create doubt about the genuineness of the transaction for the purpose of reliance to assess the market value of the concerned land. First of all, no stamp duty has been paid as the alleged purchaser is exempted from paying the stamp duty as per the policy of the State. The Haryana State has taken a policy decision to exempt the oustees of the compulsory acquisition of the land from the payment of the stamp duty while purchasing the land in the State. The alleged purchaser is one of the oustee. He is alleged to have purchased 16 kanals of agricultural land which is an undivided share, in a joint bigger parcel of the land. No doubt, the payment has been made through the various bank

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases

6

*transactions and it has been recited in the sale deed that the actual physical possession of the land has been delivered to the purchaser at the time of execution of the sale deed. Yet, the land, possession whereof was delivered to the purchaser, has neither been identified through killa/khasra number nor through any other mode. The purchaser of an unpartitioined part of the joint land may opt to get the possession thereof after partition of joint land. However, if there is a recital with respect to delivery of actual physical possession of the purchased land, then it has to be with reference to the killa/khasra number or any other mode of identification to identify the land specifically from the revenue records. It is not the case of the landowners that the purchaser was delivered possession of the entire joint land although he purchased only a small portion in the joint land. Hence, the judgment in **Mehrawal Khewaji Trust's case** (supra) has no application.*

5.4 *There is yet another aspect which has come to the notice of the Court. The sale deed is scribed by Subhash Chander Sangwan, a professional scribe. The sale deeds Ex.R2, R2/2, R2/4, R2/5, R2/7, R2/8 and R2/9 are also scribed by the same scribe. In these sale deeds also, similarly undivided shares, out of joint land, have been shown to have purchased but while referring to the delivery of actual physical possession, killa/khasra numbers have not been disclosed for identifying the parcel of land possessed whereof such actual physical possession has been delivered. Once we compare the sale deeds Ex. R3, R4, R2/3 and R2/6, it is evident that even in the case of sale of an undivided part in the joint land, the delivery of possession has been recited while referring to a specific killa number.*

5.5 *Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, there is a lurking doubt about the genuineness of the sale transaction Ex.P1 for*

**Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases**

the purpose of placing reliance to assess the market value of the acquired land. It is significant to note here that the Corporation had initiated the acquisition proceedings of more than 700 acres of land in village Baliyana vide a notification dated 09.06.2006. The Corporation has produced as many as ten sale deeds with respect to sale transactions after 09.06.2006 which prove that the prices of the unacquired land, remaining after first notification, did not increase exorbitantly as it sought to be projected by the learned counsel representing for the appellants. The landowners have not produced any evidence to prove that the aforesaid sale deeds produced by the Corporation do not reflect the correct price. The Corporation is not a party to the sale deeds which have been produced in evidence.

5.6 Now the stage is set for analyzing the arguments of the learned counsel representing the appellants. It may be noted here that the learned counsel treats the base market value at ₹17,00,000/- on 09.06.2007 which is infact the assessment made by the Reference Court with respect to the acquisition initiated on 09.06.2006 vide notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. This is only an assessment made by the Reference Court on preponderance of the probabilities. Thereafter, the learned counsel representing the landowners has tried to project as if the price increased from ₹17,00,000/- to ₹20,00,000/- per acre within a period of ten months so as to reflect the increase of 17.65% per annum by referring to the sale exemplar Ex.P1. In the considered view of this Court, this is not the accurate way to assess the market value of the acquired land. This Court has already discussed its doubt about the genuineness of the sale exemplar Ex.P1 for assessing the market value of the acquired land. Thereafter, the learned counsel has tried to project that from 06.04.2007 the prices

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases

*should increase between 55 to 100% over a period of ten months on the base market value price of ₹20,00,000/-. In the considered view of this Court, this is not the manner in which the market value of the acquired land is to be assessed particularly when sale exemplars of the contemporaneous period have been produced. Similarly, the learned counsel has erred in relying upon the sale of the land measuring 699.53 acres by the Corporation to M/s Maruti Suzuki Limited @ ₹75,00,000/- per acre. As per Section 23 and 24 of the 1894 Act, the sale deed post the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act cannot be taken into consideration. The reliance in this regard can be placed on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Maya Devi (Dead) through Legal Representative and Others v. State of Haryana and Others (2018) 2 SCC 474**. The relevant discussion is in para 5, which is extracted as under:-*

*“5. So far as the first contention is concerned, the sale deed relied upon by the appellants/claimants dated 27.12.1988 is post notification. Sub-section (1) of Section 23 of the Act provides that the compensation to be awarded shall be determined by the reference court, based upon the market value of the acquired land at the date of the publication of the notification under Section 4(1). In **Kolkata Metropolitan Development Authority v. Gobinda Chandra Makal and Anr. (2011) 9 SCC 207**, it was held that the relevant date for determining the compensation is the date of publication of the notification under Section 4(1) of the Act in the Gazette. In para (34), it was held as under:-*

"34. One of the principles in regard to determination of the market value under Section 23(1) is that the rise in market value after the

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases

publication of the notification under Section 4(1) of the Act should not be taken into account for the purpose of determination of market value. If the deeming definition of "publication of the notification" in the amended Section 4(1) is imported as the meaning of the said words in the first clause of Section 23(1), it will lead to anomalous results. The owners of the lands which are the subject-matter of the notification and neighbouring lands will come to know about the proposed acquisition, on the date of publication in the Gazette or in the newspapers. If the giving of public notice of the substance of the notification is delayed by two or three months, there may be several sale transactions in regard to nearby lands in that period, showing a spurt or hike in value in view of the development contemplated on account of the acquisition itself."

Applying the ratio of the above decision, we are of the view that the post notification instances cannot be taken into consideration for determining the compensation of the acquired land."

9. There is a small typographical error in third sentence of para 5.6 as the base market value @ ₹17,00,000/- should be as on 09.06.2006 and not on 09.06.2007 which, in fact, stands clarified from the second part of the same very sentence.

10. It shall be noted here that the judgment of the Court, while assessing the market value of the acquired land, is based upon preponderance of probabilities. The assessment of the market price of the

land is based on evaluation/analysis of the evidence produced before the

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases

Court. If proper evidence is not produced, then the assessment of the market value by the Court may not be true reflection of the market value of the acquired land. Hence, the assessment of the market value by the Court is based upon subjective satisfaction of the Court, which, in turn, is based upon the evidence which has been produced by the parties. Whereas on the other hand, copies of the sale deeds of the parcels of land which are having comparatively similar location with the acquired land are better pieces of evidence when compared to the assessment made by the Court. This can be better explained on perusal of the tabulated compilation. Though the Supreme Court has assessed the market value of the land @ ₹17,00,000/- per acre as on 09.06.2006 with respect to the same village but perusal of the sale deeds (Ex.R/2, Ex.R/3, Ex.R/4, Ex.R2/2, Ex.R2/3, Ex.R2/4, Ex.R2/5, Ex.R2/6, Ex.R2/7, Ex/R2/8 and Ex.R2/9) consistently prove that despite notification dated 09.06.2006, land comprised in comparative parcels of the land in the same village were being sold at a price which was less than ₹17,00,000/-. In fact, as many as 11 sale deeds have been produced by the respondents out of which the best sale instance is Ex.R2. It is not proved that the sale instance (Ex.R2) is with respect to the parcel of land which is not comparable with the acquired land. The highest price in the various sale deeds produced by the State of Haryana in the tabulated compilation prove that the price of the land in the village was not more than ₹ 16,00,000/- per acre. On 06.04.2007 i.e. after a period of ten months from the date of previous notification dated 09.06.2006, the land measuring 29 kanals has been sold in the village @ ₹16,00,000/- per acre. Through this sale instance,

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)
In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017
And Other Connected Cases

11

more than 3½ acres of land was sold in the same village i.e. Baliyana. Similarly, the sale instances (Ex.R/3, Ex.R2/6, Ex.R2/8) which are with respect to the land measuring nearly of 1 acre prove that the various parcels of land were being consistently sold at a price which was less than ₹16,00,000/- per acre.

11. On the other hand, the land owners (applicants) have failed to produce any other sale deed except Ex.P1 relating to the contemporaneous period to help the Court to assess the price. Hence, this Court was left with no choice but to rely upon the sale deeds produced by the respondents.

12. Furthermore, it shall be noted that this Court has already elaborately explained the reasons which weighed with the Court while ignoring the sale instance (Ex.P1) for the purpose of assessing the market value of the acquired land. Although the learned senior counsel representing the review applicants contends that such reasons are not proper, however, this cannot be a ground to review the judgment.

13. This Court has carefully read the judgment passed by the supreme Court in *Balwan Singh's case (supra)*. While deciding that case, the market value of the acquired land located in village Kheri Sadh in two different phases and village Baliyana was decided. The correctness of the market value of the acquired land in village Baliyana @ ₹17,00,000/- per acre assessed by the High Court was upheld by the Supreme Court, which would be clear from para 36 and 37 of the judgment. It shall be noted here that while assessing the market value of the acquired land, the Court does

not grant a decree of declaration that a particular price is the market value of

the acquired land on the date of notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. The Court is expected to assess the market value on the basis of the evidence produced before it. Hence, the assessment of market value made in the previous judgment is relevant and a good piece of evidence, however, it cannot be preferred as compared to the direct evidence i.e. the sale deeds of the contemporaneous period of the comparative parcels of the land.

14. Keeping in view aforesaid discussions, finding no merits, all the review applications are dismissed.

15. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, in all the review applications shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

February 22, 2024
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

Sr. No.	Case No.	Title
1.	RA-RF-326-2023	Ram Singh (Deceased) Through LR. V. State Of Haryana And Others
2.	RA-RF-394-2023	Kartar Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
3.	RA-RF-398-2023	Randhir (Deceased) Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
4.	RA-RF-273-2023	Smt. Santosh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
5.	RA-RF-385-2023	Jagbir Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
6.	RA-RF-308-2023	Smt. Payari And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
7.	RA-RF-393-2023	Shamsher Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
8.	RA-RF-392-2023	Kheri (Since Deceased) Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
9.	RA-RF-316-2023	Maha Singh (Deceased) Through LRs.. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
10.	RA-RF-380-2023	Raghbir Singh Deceased, Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana
11.	RA-RF-379-2023	Bhim Singh Since Deceased Through LRs. And Another. V. State Of Haryana And Others

2024:PHHC:028035

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)

In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017

And Other Connected Cases

13

Sr. No.	Case No.	Title
12.	RA-RF-381-2023	Ram Chander And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
13.	RA-RF-378-2023	Smt Brahmo And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
14.	RA-RF-368-2023	Vedpal (Since Deceased) Through His LRs. And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
15.	RA-RF-288-2023	Smt. Om Pati (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
16.	RA-RF-292-2023	Pawan Kumar V. State Of Haryana And Others
17.	RA-RF-291-2023	Dharambir @ Dharampal (Deceased) Through LRs. And Another V/ S State Of Haryana And Others
18.	RA-RF-290-2023	Smt. Sushila V. State Of Haryana And Others
19.	RA-RF-289-2023	Surender Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
20.	RA-RF-307-2023	Tek Ram And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
21.	RA-RF-324-2023	Jagdish Chander And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
22.	RA-RF-325-2023	Dharambir @ Dharampal (Deceased) Through LRs. And Another V/ S State Of Haryana And Others
23.	RA-RF-328-2023	Pritam Kumar V. State Of Haryana And Others
24.	RA-RF-317-2023	Jagdish And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
25.	RA-RF-365-2023	Bhim Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
26.	RA-RF-2-2024	Khazani And Others V. State Of Haryana And Ors
27.	RA-RF-312-2023	Sobha @ Ompati And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
28.	RA-RF-313-2023	Dharambir @ Dharampal (Deceased) Through LRs. And Another V/ S State Of Haryana And Others
29.	RA-RF-293-2023	Smt. Phoolpati (Deceased) Through LRs.. V. State Of Haryana And Others
30.	RA-RF-294-2023	Smt. Savitri And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
31.	RA-RF-295-2023	Veer Bhan And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
32.	RA-RF-329-2023	Ram Kanwar (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
33.	RA-RF-395-2023	Puran And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
34.	RA-RF-396-2023	Harpal And Ors V. State Of Haryana And Ors
35.	RA-RF-298-2023	Pawan Kumar V. State Of Haryana And Others
36.	RA-RF-299-2023	Kapoor Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
37.	RA-RF-332-2023	Jaipal And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
38.	RA-RF-344-2023	Malho (Deceased) Through LRs.. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
39.	RA-RF-343-2023	Dhan Raj (Deceased) Through LR. V. State Of Haryana And Others
40.	RA-RF-3-2024	Jaipal And Ors V. State Of Haryana And Ors
41.	RA-RF-345-2023	Chand Roop And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
42.	RA-RF-346-2023	Maha Singh Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
43.	RA-RF-355-2023	Chatter Singh And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
44.	RA-RF-360-2023	Smt. Ratni And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
45.	RA-RF-315-2023	Jagdish (Deceased) Through LRs.. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others

2024:PHHC:028035

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)

In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017

And Other Connected Cases

14

Sr. No.	Case No.	Title
46.	RA-RF-314-2023	Krishan Kumar And Another. V. State Of Haryana And Others
47.	RA-RF-301-2023	Balbir Singh (Deceased) Through LRs.. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
48.	RA-RF-300-2023	Parvat Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
49.	RA-RF-373-2023	Mahabir And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
50.	RA-RF-372-2023	Balmat And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
51.	RA-RF-371-2023	Ishwar Singh Since Deceased Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
52.	RA-RF-400-2023	Tara Chand Deceased Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
53.	RA-RF-335-2023	Jaipal And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
54.	RA-RF-339-2023	Parvat Singh V. State Of Haryana And Others
55.	RA-RF-338-2023	Meer Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
56.	RA-RF-361-2023	Smt. Santosh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
57.	RA-RF-362-2023	Dhan Raj (Deceased) Through LR. V. State Of Haryana And Others
58.	RA-RF-374-2023	Nafe Singh Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
59.	RA-RF-375-2023	Hoshiyara (Deceased) Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
60.	RA-RF-377-2023	Smt. Santosh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
61.	RA-RF-376-2023	Nafe Singh Since Deceased Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
62.	RA-RF-391-2023	Tara Chand Since Deceased Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
63.	RA-RF-297-2023	Balbir V. State Of Haryana And Others
64.	RA-RF-296-2023	Hawa Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
65.	RA-RF-356-2023	Balwan And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
66.	RA-RF-358-2023	Virender And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
67.	RA-RF-359-2023	Hawa Singh (Deceased) Through LRs.. V. State Of Haryana And Others
68.	RA-RF-397-2023	Dharambir And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
69.	RA-RF-382-2023	Balbir Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana Others
70.	RA-RF-384-2023	Hari Singh V. State Of Haryana And Others
71.	RA-RF-383-2023	Om Narain (Since Deceased) Through LRs. And Ors V. State Of Haryana And Others
72.	RA-RF-311-2023	Meer Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
73.	RA-RF-390-2023	Chander Since Deceased Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
74.	RA-RF-389-2023	Kheri Since Deceased Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
75.	RA-RF-388-2023	Vedpal And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
76.	RA-RF-387-2023	Rajbir Singh Alias Raj Singh Through His LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
77.	RA-RF-386-2023	Kheri Deceased Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
78.	RA-RF-331-2023	Hukam Singh V. State Of Haryana And Others
79.	RA-RF-333-2023	Harpal Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
80.	RA-RF-334-2023	Pawan Kumar V. State Of Haryana And Others

2024:PHHC:028035

Review Application No. RF-356 of 2023 (O&M)

15

In Regular First Appeal No. 30 of 2017

And Other Connected Cases

Sr. No.	Case No.	Title
81.	RA-RF-340-2023	Smt. Santosh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
82.	RA-RF-342-2023	Dharampal (Deceased) Through LRs. And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
83.	RA-RF-341-2023	Dhan Raj (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
84.	RA-RF-306-2023	Azad And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
85.	RA-RF-309-2023	Ashok Kumar And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
86.	RA-RF-327-2023	Sube Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
87.	RA-RF-285-2023	Partap Singh V. State Of Haryana And Others
88.	RA-RF-284-2023	Azad Singh And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
89.	RA-RF-286-2023	Dharamender And Others V. Lac Cum Dro, Rohtak And Others
90.	RA-RF-287-2023	Jagbir Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
91.	RA-RF-279-2023	Azad Singh And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
92.	RA-RF-280-2023	Sanjeet And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
93.	RA-RF-283-2023	Tara Chand (Deceased) Through LRs.. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
94.	RA-RF-281-2023	Meer Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
95.	RA-RF-282-2023	Rajender Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
96.	RA-RF-357-2023	Maha Singh (Deceased) Through LRs.. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
97.	RA-RF-276-2023	Sajjan Singh (Deceased) Through LRs.. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
98.	RA-RF-337-2023	Sish Pal And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
99.	RA-RF-336-2023	Santosh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
100.	RA-RF-370-2023	Jitender Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
101.	RA-RF-369-2023	Raghbir Singh Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
102.	RA-RF-274-2023	Dhan Raj (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
103.	RA-RF-305-2023	Hawa Singh V. State Of Haryana And Others
104.	RA-RF-1-2024	Ramphal Through LRs. And Another V. State Of Haryana And Others
105.	RA-RF-310-2023	Smt. Meena V. State Of Haryana And Others
106.	RA-RF-318-2023	Ram Kanwar And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
107.	RA-RF-399-2023	Dalip Deceased Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others
108.	RA-RF-319-2023	Ishwar Singh V. State Of Haryana And Others
109.	RA-RF-320-2023	Umed Singh And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
110.	RA-RF-321-2023	Om Parkash V. State Of Haryana And Others
111.	RA-RF-322-2023	Dharambir @ Dharampal (Deceased) Through LRs. And Another V/ S State Of Haryana And Others
112.	RA-RF-323-2023	Narender And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
113.	RA-RF-330-2023	Maha Singh V. State Of Haryana And Others
114.	RA-RF-349-2023	Raj Kumar And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
115.	RA-RF-348-2023	Virender And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
116.	RA-RF-347-2023	Preet Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. V. State Of

Sr. No.	Case No.	Title
		Haryana And Others
117.	RA-RF-350-2023	Smt. Shanti (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
118.	RA-RF-351-2023	Umed Singh (Deceased) Through LR. V. State Of Haryana And Others
119.	. RA-RF-352-2023	Lehna Singh (Deceased) Through. LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
120.	RA-RF-353-2023	Ompati And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
121.	RA-RF-354-2023	Smt. Ramrati (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
122.	RA-RF-364-2023	Ramphal (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
123.	RA-RF-275-2023	Dhan Raj (Deceased) Through LRs. And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
124.	RA-RF-277-2023	Bhalle Ram (Deceased) Through LRs.. V. State Of Haryana And Others
125.	RA-RF-278-2023	Jai Chand V. State Of Haryana And Others
126.	RA-RF-303-2023	Ravinder And Another. V. State Of Haryana And Others
127.	RA-RF-302-2023	Krishan Kumar And Others V. State Of Haryana And Others
128.	RA-RF-304-2023	Azad Singh (Deceased) Through LRs. V. State Of Haryana And Others

(Anil Kshetarpal)

Judge

February 22, 2024

“DK”