



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

241

COCP-3342-2023 (O & M)
Date of decision: 22.07.2024

SURJIT KAUR THROUGH HER LRS

...Petitioner

Versus

VIVEK PARTAP SINGH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)**CM-19869-CII-2023**

1. This is an application under Order 22 Rule 3 CPC read with Section 151 CPC to implead the legal heirs of the petitioner, as a party in the present petition.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The persons mentioned in para 2 of the application are ordered to be impleaded as legal heirs of the petitioner, for the limited purpose of pursuing the present petition only.

COCP-3342-2023

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 08.01.2013 passed in LPA-2111-2011.

2. Compliance report by way of affidavit of Uma Shankar Gupta, Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab, filed in the Registry, is taken on record.

3. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order dated

08.01.2013 passed in LPA-2111-2011 has since been complied with and necessary order has been passed by the competent authority.

4. Counsel for the petitioners has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.

6. However, if any grievance of the petitioners is left unredressed, they would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

22.07.2024

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No