



CRWP-9933-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(135)

CRWP-9933-2024
Date of Decision : 18.11.2024

Jyotsna Pradhan and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the official respondents No.1 to 3, to ensure the protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners living in 'live-in-relationship', at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5, and further to restrain them from interfering into the peaceful lives of the petitioners.

2. This Court, on dated 14.10.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition :-

“Petitioner no.1, who is already married, and having a girl child, without taking divorce from her earlier wedlock with respondent no.4, decided to walk out from her matrimonial relationship, and started living in 'Live-in-relationship', with petitioner no.2, who is stated to be major and an unmarried person, and having apprehension to their lives and liberty, filed the instant criminal writ petition under Articles 226 of the



Constitution of India, for issuance of directions to the official respondents no. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents no.4 and 5.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3, and waives service.

Notice upon respondents no.4 and 5, be issued, on furnishing the requisite process fee by the petitioners, returnable to 07.11.2024.

In the meanwhile, the State is directed to evaluate the threat perceptions as prescribed in the instant petition, if any, to the petitioners, and to file a specific status report.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. In deference to the directions, issued by this Court, vide order dated 14.10.2024, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Deepak Kumar, P.S. Sadar, Amritsar, submits that a thorough enquiry was conducted, and from the enquiry itself it reflects that there is no threat perception to the petitioners, as on today. Furthermore, during the enquiry, the statements of the petitioners were recorded, whereby, they submitted before the Enquiry Officer, that they do not have any threat perception, or danger to their lives, from the private respondents.

4. In view of the specific statements suffered by the petitioners, the asked for relief cannot be granted. Therefore, the instant criminal writ petition is **closed**.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 18, 2024
Manpreet