

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 56993 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.04.2024**

Chander Kant

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pratik Gulati and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. S.S. Aviraj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr. Rishabh Singla, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Siddharath Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No. 2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks quashing of complaint dated 24.05.2022, bearing No.20163 of 2022, under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 **(for short “NI, Act”)**, read with Section 420 of IPC, as also the order dated 24.05.2022, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class-4, Jalandhar, whereby he was summoned to face trial for an offence under Section 138 of NI Act.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the complaint under Section 138 of NI Act, filed at the instance of respondent No. 1-Pratik Gupta, stands dismissed as withdrawn, while discharging the petitioner, vide order dated 09.12.2023, passed by the Presiding Officer, National Lok Adalat, Jalandhar, thus; the present petition has become infructuous.

[3] Learned counsel for respondent No. 1-complainant does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

[4] **Disposed off as infructuous.**

April 18, 2024

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>