



259
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-6390-2023
Date of decision: 20.09.2024

Rakesh Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

Kamal Kumar ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 28.08.2023 passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sri Anandpur Sahib, whereby an application for stay has been declined.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondent have pointed out that vide judgment and decree dated 28.03.2024 passed by the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sri Anandpur Sahib, the suit itself has been decreed and the preliminary decree has been passed and thus, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.
3. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

20.09.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No