



CWP-33097-2019

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CWP-33097-2019

Date of decision:- 12.08.2024

Ram Phal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of instant petition, petitioners have approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India *inter-aila* for issuance of a writ in nature of certiorari for quashing election programme dated 31.10.2019, Annexure P-3.

2. On 25.07.2024, this Court passed the following order:-

“State counsel submits that by order dated 26.11.2019 (which was corrected by a subsequent order passed by this Court on 29.11.2019), this Court granted an interim order in the same terms as in CWP-20101-2018. He submits that the said writ petition has been disposed of by this Court on 09.05.2023, alongwith a bunch of other petitions and the following observation has been made:-

“3. At the moment, the question before this Court is whether the writ petitions ought to be decided regarding denial of voting rights of the petitioners.



CWP-33097-2019

-2-

However, keeping in view the efflux of time and the pendency of the writ petitions in this Court itself for one reason or the other, fresh elections would have to be held in terms of the Act and the Rules framed thereunder within a period of one month from today. Therefore, without going into the question whether or not the Zonal Committee acted rightly in rejecting nomination papers, this Court proposes to set aside the election that was held and direct the Deputy Registrar/Board of Administrators to hold elections afresh in accordance with the law.'

4. This order has been passed with the concurrence of the petitioners and the private respondents.

5. Writ petitions stand disposed of accordingly."

Request for an adjournment has been made on behalf of the arguing counsel for respondent No.4.

List on 12.08.2024.

To be shown in the urgent cause list."

3. In view of the above development, counsel for the petitioner submits that the petition has become infructuous.

4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

12.08.2024
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No