



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

239

CRWP-9940-2024

Date of Decision : November 18, 2024

HARDEV SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions upon the official respondents, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

2. This Court vide order dated 16.10.2024, had directed the State to file affidavit disclosing therein, whether there is any threat perception to the present petitioners, as alleged in the instant petition.

3. The representation of the present petitioners was got enquired into, and thereupon, the following measures were ordered to be taken to ensure the protection of the present petitioners”-

- i) Keeping in view, the threat perception to life and liberty of the petitioners and order passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh, the SHO, Police Station Sirhali

has appointed two PCR officials and necessary directions have been issued to them to look out near the area of house and shop of the petitioners.

ii) As such, the PCR officials are routinely circling the house of the petitioners in the village Dadehar Sahib and shop of the petitioner No.1 namely Hardev Singh on their PCR bike and further the petitioner No.1 namely Hardev Singh is having Arm License on his name, as issued for his self defences and two weapons i.e. gun 12 bore and revolver 32 bore.

iii) Since then no unidentified person has been verified who came at the house of the petitioners. Further, Hardev Singh was instructed that if any unknown person threatens him or any member of his family, then he should instantly inform the nearest Police Station or make phone call at Police Helpline No.112.”

4. In view of the adequate measures taken by the respondent-State, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he is at present satisfied and, therefore, does not want to press the instant petition. The instant petition is **disposed** of accordingly.

November 18, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No