

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54029-2023
Date of decision: 11.01.2024

Balwinder Singh @ BinduPetitioner.

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
112	15.06.2023	379-B IPC	Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the orders dated 30.11.2023 and 12.12.2023, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the orders dated 30.11.2023 and 12.12.2023 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 30.11.2023 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

5. The petition stands allowed.

6. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

11.01.2024
preeti

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |