



CRWP-9853-2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(283)

**CRWP-9853-2024
Date of Decision : 16.10.2024**

Satinder Singh @ Vicky

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghav Garg, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant criminal writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent No.5, to grant extension of parole for four weeks, to the petitioner under Section 3 (1)(d) of the Punjab Goods Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962, on account of medical urgency of his wife.
2. Despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, and without approaching the authorities/court concerned, yet he has sidetracked the said remedy, and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the instant second petition.
3. Therefore, this Court has no hesitation in recording that the instant petition is a misconceived motion, and in such circumstances, this Court refrains from exercising its powers, as envisaged under Article 226/227

CRWP-9853-2024

of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the instant petition is **dismissed**.

4. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to recourse the alternative available statutory remedy(ies), before the appropriate authority/forum concerned, at the first instance, for the relief, as sought in the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 16, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No