

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

223 CRM-M-55464-2023
Date of Decision.:24.01.2024

Rajeev Ranjan Kumar @ Rajiv Ranjan KumarPetitioner
Vs.
State of Punjab & AnotherRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jagatvir Singh Dhindsa, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.6 dated 24.01.2015 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. On 09.11.2023, following order was passed by this Court:-

“By way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.6 dated 24.01.2015 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC at Police Station Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the entire dispute is civil in nature, having arisen out of business

transaction. It is further contended that as per the FIR itself, an amount of ₹22,36,754/- was due. It is also contended that an amount of ₹10 lakhs has already been paid and that the petitioner is ready to pay the amount by way of demand draft of 5 lakhs today itself.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State.

On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, complainant of the case, namely, Lakshman Dass Verma is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2. Amended Memo of Parties be filed within three working days and then notice to said respondent No.2 – complainant be also issued for the date fixed.

Adjourned to 13.12.2023 for filing status report.”

3. After the aforesaid order, petitioner had made an offer to pay additional amount of ₹5,00,000/- to the complainant for the purpose of releasing him on interim bail but that offer was declined. Today learned counsel for the petitioner has made an offer of ₹10,00,000/- to pay to the complainant by way of Demand Draft.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has no objection to accept the said offer and to grant bail to the petitioner. The Demand Draft No.507687 dated 08.01.2024 for an amount of ₹10,00,000/- favoring Satia Industries Limited, of the complainant drawn on ICICI Bank, has been handed over by learned counsel for the petitioner which has been accepted by Mr. Jagatvir Singh Dhindsa, Advocate for respondent No.2 on behalf of the complainant. Copy of said Demand Draft has been placed on record.

5. Affidavit of Shri Satnam Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of

Police, Sub-Division Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib along with custody certificate has been filed on behalf of respondent- State.

6. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 24, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No