



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-50873-2024

Date of Decision : **November 29, 2024**

LOVEPREET SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 11.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“CRM-40815-2024

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Main case:

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the allegations against the petitioner were only to the extent of associating himself with other assailants and removing a sum of Rs.2700/- from the pocket of the complainant. He contends that the matter has already been resolved between the petitioner as well as the complainant. He further submits that the petitioner has no other criminal antecedents and he is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called for and to extend all cooperation to the Investigating Agency.

Notice of motion for 29.11.2024.

Ms. Pooja Jaglan, Advocate enters appearance and files vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant and she admits the factum of settlement amongst the parties. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place with page marking.

Let notice to respondent No.1 be issued for the date fixed on filing of process fee etc.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Agency. In the event of the petitioner joining investigation, he shall be admitted to interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on his furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) of 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 11.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

November 29, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No